

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
HOUSTON DIVISION

JENNIFER ROUSSELL

vs.

BRINKER INTERNATIONAL, INC.

§
§
§
§
§

Civil No. H-05-3733

Jury Note No 1

*Explain question 1 - Do not understand question?
explain in English*

Date: 23 Mar 09

Foreperson: _____

Verdict

Courts Answer

Please substitute this for Special Interrogatory 1:

At issue in this case is whether QA/Expos are employees who customarily and regularly receive tips. Did Plaintiffs prove by a preponderance of the evidence that, with respect to the job duties performed by QA/Expos, the experiences and/or testimony of the 14 Plaintiffs who testified are representative of the remaining 41 Plaintiffs?

Date: 23 March 2009

Keith P. Ellison

KEITH P. ELLISON
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Verdict

05-3733

Special Interrogatory No. 2

Do you find that the Defendant Brinker proved by a preponderance of the evidence that it operated a legal tip pool under the Fair Labor Standards Act, that is, that QAs/Expos work in positions or an occupation that customarily and regularly receives tips?

YES

NO

_____ ✓

Elizabeth Mulgrew

You should not proceed further with respect to this verdict form except to date and sign this verdict form and return it to the courtroom.